Case 5:11-cv-05337-RMW Document 51 Filed 06/05/12 Page 1 of 3

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Kathryn S. Diemer, Esq. SBN 133977 DIEMER, WHITMAN & CARDOSI, LLP 75 East Santa Clara Street, Suite 290 San Jose, CA 95113 (408) 971-6270 kdiemer@diemerwhitman.com Alex Stepick (Pro Hac Vice) Mark Bulgarelli (Pro Hac Vice) PROGRESSIVE LAW GROUP, LLC 505 N. LaSalle Suite 350 Chicago, IL 60654 312-787-2717 markb@progressivelaw.com alex@progressivelaw.com Attorneys for Plaintiff	EÒËZŠÒÖÆÄ Ð ÐCE
11		
12	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
13	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
14	SAN JOSE DIVISION	
15	·	
16	DANIEL DONOHUE, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	Case No. 5:11-cv-05337 RMW
17	Plaintiff,	CLASS ACTION
18	v.	JOINT STIPULATION FOR
19	APPLE INC.,	EXTENSION OF TIME TO FILE AMENDED COMPLAINT; [PROPOSED]
20	Defendant.	ORDER
21		[N.D. CAL. CIVIL LR 6-2]
22		Judge: Hon. Ronald M. Whyte Complaint Filed: November 3, 2011
23		Trial Date: None
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	JOINT STIPULATION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME ORDER CASE No. 5:11-CV-05337-RMW sf-3152892	E TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT; [PROPOSED]

1	Pursuant to Northern District Local Rules 6-1(b) and 6-2(a), Plaintiff and defendant Apple		
2	Inc. ("Apple"), by and through their respective counsel, hereby stipulate as follows:		
3	WHEREAS, on May 10, 2012, the Court entered an order granting Apple's motion to		
4	dismiss, and ordering Plaintiff to file a Second Amended Complaint ("SAC") on or before June		
5	11, 2012;		
6	WHEREAS, the parties have met and conferred, and have agreed to extend Plaintiff's		
7	time to file the SAC due to Plaintiff's counsel's previously-scheduled and conflicting work and		
8	personal commitments (See Declaration of Ilan Chorowsky ("Chorowsky Decl."), filed		
9	concurrently herewith, ¶ 3);		
10	WHEREAS, the parties also conferred and agreed to extend Apple's time to plead or		
11	otherwise respond to the SAC (Chorowsky Decl. ¶ 4);		
12	WHEREAS, this is the first stipulation and request to extend time related to the SAC, and		
13	will not otherwise effect or alter any deadline set by this Court; (Chorwosky Decl. ¶¶ 5, 6);		
14	NOW THEREFORE, the parties stipulate as follows:		
15	1. Plaintiff's time to file the SAC is extended to and including July 11, 2012.		
16	2. Apple's time to plead or otherwise respond to the SAC is extended to and		
17	including August 1, 2012.		
18	3. Plaintiff's opposition to any threshold motions responding to the SAC shall be		
19	filed on or before August 22, 2012.		
20	4. Apple's reply brief shall be filed on or before September 12, 2012.		
21	5. A hearing on Apple's threshold motions, if any, shall be set for October 5, 2012 a		
22	9:00 a.m.		
23	6. Apple is not obligated to answer the SAC until after the Court rules on any		
24	threshold motions.		
25	///		
26			
27			
28			

Case 5:11-cv-05337-RMW Document 51 Filed 06/05/12 Page 3 of 3

l		
1	Dated: June 1, 2012	PENELOPE A. PREOVOLOS
2		STUART C. PLUNKETT SUZANNA P. BRICKMAN
3		MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP
4		By: /s/ Penelope A. Preovolos Penelope A. Preovolos
5		-
6		Attorneys for Defendant APPLE INC.
7	Dated: June 1, 2012	KATHRYN DIEMER DIEMER, WHITMER & CARDOSI LLP
8		KEVIN ENG
9		EDWARD ZUSMAN MARKUN ZUSMAN & COMPTON LLP
10		MARK BULGARELLI(Pro Hac Vice)
11		ALEX STEPICK (<i>Pro Hac Vice</i>) PROGRESSIVE LAW GROUP, LLC
12		
13		By: /s/ Alex Stepick Alex Stepick
14		Attorneys for Plaintiff
15		DANIEL DONOHUE
16	EDD OD OGED I ODDED	
17	<u> PRO</u>	POSED] ORDER
18	Dungmont to Ctimulation IT IC CC	AODDEDED
19	Pursuant to Stipulation, IT IS SC	
20	Dated: June <u>Í</u> , 2012	Konald M. Whyte
21		Honorable Ronald Whyte
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
20		
	sf-3152892	